

MN

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/713,416	11/14/2003		Stephen Venditti	I0306.70000US00/SJH	2730
Steven J. Henry	7590 V	08/02/2007	EXAMINER		
Wolf, Greenfie	ld & Sacks,	P.C.	LEROUX, ETIENNE PIERRE		
600 Atlantic Avenue Boston, MA 02210				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2161	
•				MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
				08/02/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	·			Applicant(s)			
	Office Action Summan	10/713,416	VENDITTI ET AI	L.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Etienne P. LeRo					
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	appears on the cove	sheet with the correspondence a	address			
WHI0 - Exte after - If NO - Failt Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stareply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS CC 1.136(a). In no event, howe od will apply and will expire tute, cause the application to	OMMUNICATION. ever, may a reply be timely filed SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	` '			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22	? March 2007.	•				
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3) 🗌	S) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice unde	er Ex parte Quayle,	1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-54 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-54 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	Irawn from consider					
Applicat	ion Papers						
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Exame The drawing(s) filed on 14 November 2003 in Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the confirmation of the oath or declaration is objected to by the	s/are: a)⊠ accepte he drawing(s) be held rection is required if th	in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). e drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 (CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)□ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a light	ents have been rece ents have been rece riority documents ha eau (PCT Rule 17.2	rived. sived in Application No ave been received in this National (a)).	al Stage			
2) Noti	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ er No(s)/Mail Date	08) 5)	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (P	TO-152)			

Claim Status

Claims 1-54 are pending. Claims 1-54 are rejected as detailed below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claim rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Pub No US 2003/0046281 (Son), hereafter Son.

Claims 1, 17, 33 and 46-54:

Son discloses:

- (A) executing a search query on the data collection to produce at least one search result, the search query specifying at least one criterion, each of the at least one search results representing a resource which satisfies the at least one criterion [paragraph 101]
- (B) after executing the search query, providing an input mechanism by means of which a user may select from among the search results, for preservation at least one resource represented by a search result [paragraph 101]

Application/Control Number: 10/713,416 Page 3

Art Unit: 2161

(C) executing, in response to the user's selection, a command to preserve the selected at

least one resource in a system location [paragraph 101]

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the

manner in which the invention was made.

Claim rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Son and further

in view of US Pat No 5,222,234 (Wang), hereafter Wang.

Claims 2, 18 and 34:

Son discloses the elements of the claimed invention as noted above but does not disclose

wherein the system location comprises a folder. Wang discloses wherein the system location

comprises a folder [col 3, line 64 through col 4, line 5]. It would have been obvious to one of

ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Son to include wherein the

system location comprises a folder as taught by Wang for the purpose of saving similar content

in an easily accessible memory location.

Claims 3, 19 and 35:

Application/Control Number: 10/713,416

Art Unit: 2161

The combination of Son and Wang discloses the elements of the claimed invention as noted above and furthermore, discloses wherein the folder is created based on input provided by

Page 4

the user [Wang, col 3, line 64 through col 4, line 5]

Claims 4, 20 and 36:

The combination of Son and Wang discloses the elements of the claimed invention as

noted above and furthermore, discloses wherein the folder is implemented via an indication

stored in at least one persistent data store [Wang, col 3, lines 48-63].

Claims 5, 21 and 37:

The combination of Son and Wang discloses the elements of the claimed invention as

noted above and furthermore, discloses wherein the act (A) further comprises each of the at least

one search results representing a resource by providing an identifier which facilitates access to

the resource [Wang, col 5, lines 1-10].

Claims 6, 22 and 38:

The combination of Son and Wang discloses the elements of the claimed invention as

noted above and furthermore, discloses wherein the act (C) further comprises exporting the

preserved resource [Wang, retrieve documents, col 2, lines 50-55]

Claims 7, 23 and 39:

The combination of Son and Wang discloses the elements of the claimed invention as noted above and furthermore, discloses wherein the act (C) further comprises exporting the preserved resource to at least one of a CD-ROM or a paper copy [Wang, col 6, lines 30-40].

Claims 8, 24 and 40:

The combination of Son and Wang discloses the elements of the claimed invention as noted above and furthermore, discloses wherein the act (C) is performed in at least one of a manual and semi-automated manner [Wang, col 3, lines 48-58]

Claims 9, 25 and 41-43:

The combination of Son and Wang discloses the elements of the claimed invention as noted above and furthermore, discloses wherein the act (C) further comprises copying the selected at least one resource from the system location to a second system location [Wang, col 3, lines 48-58]

Claims 10 and 26:

The combination of Son and Wang discloses the elements of the claimed invention as noted above and furthermore, discloses wherein the act (C) is performed in response to a command provided by a user [Wang, col 3, lines 48-58]

Claims 11 and 27:

The combination of Son and Wang discloses the elements of the claimed invention as noted above and furthermore, discloses wherein the act (C) is performed by creating a

Application/Control Number: 10/713,416 Page 6

Art Unit: 2161

relationship in at least one persistent data store between each of the selected at least one resources and the second system location [Wang, document relation object 42, Fig 2]

Claims 12 and 28:

The combination of Son and Wang discloses the elements of the claimed invention as noted above and furthermore, discloses wherein the act (C) further comprises moving the selected at least one resource from the system location to a second system location [Wang, col 3, lines 47-57]

Claims 13 and 29:

The combination of Son and Wang discloses the elements of the claimed invention as noted above and furthermore, discloses wherein the act (C) is performed in response to receiving a command provided by a user [Wang, col 3, lines 47-57]

Claims 14 and 30,

The combination of Son and Wang discloses the elements of the claimed invention as noted above and furthermore, discloses wherein the act (C) is performed by creating a relationship in at least one persistent data store between each of the selected at least one resources and the second system location [Wang, col 3, lines 47-57].

Claims 15, 31 and 44:

The combination of Son and Wang discloses the elements of the claimed invention as noted above and furthermore, discloses wherein the user is a human operator [Wang, col 3, lines 47-57]

Claims 16, 32 and 45:

The combination of Son and Wang discloses the elements of the claimed invention as noted above and furthermore, discloses wherein the at least one criterion is provided by the user [Wang, col 3, lines 47-57].

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 3/22/2007 have been fully considered but they are moot based on above new grounds of rejection.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Etienne P. LeRoux whose telephone number is (571) 272-4022. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday between 8:00 am and 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Apu Mofiz can be reached on (571) 272-4080. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

7/26/2007 (4)

In view of the Appeal Brief filed on 3/22/2007. PROSECUTION IS HEREBY REOPENED. New grounds of rejection are set forth above.

To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the following two options:

- (1) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action is non-final) or a reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (if this Office action is final); or,
- (2) initiate a new appeal by filing a notice of appeal under 37 CFR 41.31 followed by an appeal brief under 37 CFR 41.37. The previously paid notice of appeal fee and appeal brief fee can be applied to the new appeal. If, however, the appeal fees set forth in 37 CFR 41.20 have been increased since they were previously paid, then appellant must pay the difference between the increased fees and the amount previously paid.

A Supervisory Patent Examiner (SPE) has approved of reopening prosecution by signing below:

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER